UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,445	06/20/2005	Yoshinori Komatsu	Q88710	6821
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 05/09/201 5 550	[EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVE. NW		O HERN, BRENT T	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1783	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

SUGHRUE265550@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/539,445	KOMATSU ET AL.				
zxammer milatea mervion cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRENT T. O'HERN	1783				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>clai</u>	s of Application: <u>claims rejected</u>				
(1) BRENT T. O'HERN (examiner).	(3)					
(2) <u>Deb Sengupta (student associate)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 4 May 2011	Time: <u>8 am</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: NA						
Claims discussed: NA						
Prior art documents discussed: NA						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was advised that the Abstract is in excess of 150 words and needs to be revised ASAP. An after final amendment was filed 5/2/2011. The Examiner needs to respond to Applicant's Paper soon.						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/BRENT T O'HERN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1783						
	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				